

Appl. No. 10/084,526
Amdt. Dated August , 2004
Reply to Office Action of August 10, 2004

Remarks

Claims 11-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,483,711 to Huang in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,117,476 to Yingst.

Claims 11-17 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,540,412 to Yonemura in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,117,476 to Yingst.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,540,412 to Yonemura in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,117,476 to Yingst in further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,558,191 to Bright.

In response to these rejections and the Advisory Action, applicant has now amended claim 6 for correcting the typo, and has canceled claims 11-20 in order to place the instant application in a condition for allowance. The amendment to claim 6 is in respect of a minor, obvious grammatical error only, and does not affect the substance of the claim or its allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Nan Tsung Huang

By 

Wei Te Chung

Registration No.: 43,325

Foxconn International, Inc.

P.O. Address: 1650 Memorex Drive, Santa Clara, CA 95050

Tel. No.: (408) 919-6137